Notch dimerization is required for leukemogenesis and T-cell development
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Notch signaling regulates myriad cellular functions by activating transcription, yet how Notch selectively activates different transcriptional targets is poorly understood. The core Notch transcriptional activation complex can bind DNA as a monomer, but it can also dimerize on DNA-binding sites that are properly oriented and spaced. However, the significance of Notch dimerization is unknown. Here, we show that dimeric Notch transcriptional complexes are required for T-cell maturation and leukemic transformation but are dispensable for T-cell fate specification from a multipotential precursor. The varying requirements for Notch dimerization result from the differential sensitivity of specific Notch target genes. In particular, c-Myc and pre-T-cell antigen receptor α (Ptcra) are dimerization-dependent targets, whereas Hey1 and CD25 are not. These findings identify functionally important differences in the responsiveness among Notch target genes attributable to the formation of higher-order complexes. Consequently, it may be possible to develop a new class of Notch inhibitors that selectively block outcomes that depend on Notch dimerization (e.g., leukemogenesis).
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Notch signaling regulates cell fate decisions, proliferation, survival, and metabolic activity in metazoan organisms (Artavanis-Tsakonas et al. 1999; Kopan and Ilagan 2009). The Notch pathway transduces intercellular signals that are initiated by binding of Notch ligands on signal-sending cells with Notch receptors on signal-receiving cells. This event triggers a series of proteolytic cleavages that release intracellular Notch (ICN) from the plasma membrane, permitting ICN to enter the nucleus and form a Notch transcription complex with the transcription factor CSL [also known as RBP-J] and the coactivator Mastermind (MAML) (Kopan and Ilagan 2009).

The diverse outcomes of Notch signaling depend on Notch dose, context, and timing, yet how these variables impact Notch transcriptional activation are poorly understood (Bray 2006). One potential mechanism involves different configurations of CSL-binding sites in the promoters and/or enhancers of target genes. DNA containing one CSL-binding site can be loaded with a single CSL/ICN/MAML complex [Nam et al. 2003, 2006; Wilson and Kovall 2006]. Monomeric CSL/ICN/MAML complexes may produce different outcomes based on the number and location of dispersed CSL-binding sites in a given promoter. Alternatively, certain configurations of CSL-binding sites promote the formation of higher-order CSL/ICN/MAML complexes. Bailey and Posakony (1995) identified conserved CSL-binding sites in the Drosophila enhancer of split locus that were oriented head to head and separated by 15–22 base pairs (bp) [so-called sequence paired site (SPS)]. In both the Drosophila enhancer of split locus and the promoter of mammalian Hes1, an enhancer of split homolog, the head-to-head orientation of the paired sites is critical for Notch-mediated transcriptional activation [Cave et al. 2005; Ong et al. 2006]. The molecular logic underlying the orientation and spacing of paired sites was revealed by biochemical studies investigating the loading of complexes onto the Hes1 paired site, which showed that CSL/ICN/MAML...
complexes bind this site cooperatively, relying on intermolecular contacts involving amino acid residues located in the ICN ankyrin repeats [Nam et al. 2007]. Cooperative binding requires the N-terminal end of a MAML coactivator, which stabilizes the association of the Notch ankyrin repeat domain and CSL, and several intermolecular contacts between the Notch ankyrin repeats. One particularly important ankyrin repeat contact involves the residue R1985, which interacts with three backbone carbonyl groups in the ankyrin repeat domain of the adjacent ICN molecule [Nam et al. 2007]. The ICN1 mutation R1985A prevents the formation of CSL/ICN/MAML dimers on oligonucleotides containing paired sites and abolishes the ability of ICN1 to induce transcription from reporter genes under the control of promoters containing paired sites, yet ICN1-R1985A is fully competent to induce transcription from promoters containing polymeric head-to-tail CSL-binding sites [Nam et al. 2007]. These data suggest that dimerization is likely to be required for activation of a subset of Notch target genes, but neither the identity of dimerization-dependent genes nor the circumstances in which they function have been studied.

T-cell development and transformation are excellent model systems in which to study mammalian Notch signaling, as Notch1 is required at multiple stages of early T-cell development and dysregulated Notch1 signaling causes T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) in both mice and humans [Radtke et al. 2010]. Notch1 is required to generate the earliest T-cell progenitors (ETP) from multipotent lymphoid progenitors (MPP) in the thymus via signaling from the Notch ligand DLL4 [Sambandam et al. 2005; Tan et al. 2005; Hozumi et al. 2008; Koch et al. 2008]. Inactivation of Notch1 signaling in hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) or bone marrow (BM) MPPs results in the generation of intrathymic B cells and prevents T-cell development [Radtke et al. 1999; Wilson et al. 2001]. Conversely, constitutive Notch signaling in HSCs and MPPs inhibits B-cell development and promotes thymic-independent T-cell development [Pui et al. 1999; De Smedt et al. 2002; Schmitt and Zuniga-Pflucker 2002; Hozumi et al. 2003]. Subsequent to generating the earliest thymic progenitors, Notch1 signals provide essential differentiation, proliferation, survival, and metabolic signals during the early stages of T-cell development, up to and including β selection, which is an important checkpoint where immature T cells express pre-T-cell receptors (pre-TCRs) consisting of a pTα [pre-T-cell antigen receptor α, Ptcra] chain and a TCR β chain [von Boehmer and Fehling 1997; Michie and Zuniga-Pflucker 2002; Radtke et al. 2010]. Following successful β selection, T cells proliferate and differentiate into CD4+CD8+ double-positive (DP) T cells. The essential requirement for Notch1 ends with successful β selection [Wolfer et al. 2002; Tanigaki et al. 2004; Maillard et al. 2006; Radtke et al. 2010]. The transcriptional targets of Notch1 that direct T-cell fate specification and/or subsequent steps of T-cell development include Hes1 [Tomita et al. 1999; Kaneta et al. 2000], IIL7R [Gonzalez-Garcia et al. 2009], pTα [Deftos et al. 2000; Reizis and Leder 2002], and c-Myc [Palomero et al. 2006; Sharma et al. 2006; Weng et al. 2006]; however, the mechanism by which Notch regulates each target to orchestrate T-cell specification and differentiation is unknown.

Notch1 is an oncopogene in human and murine T-ALL. In humans, Notch1 gain-of-function mutations occur in >60% of patients with T-ALL, where they lead to ligand-independent Notch1 signaling and/or prolonged signaling [Aster et al. 2008]. Dysregulated Notch1 signaling in murine T-ALL models leads to similar consequences [Aster et al. 2008]. c-Myc is a direct transcriptional target of Notch1 that has important functions in the initiation and maintenance of Notch-dependent T-ALL [Palomero et al. 2006; Sharma et al. 2006; Weng et al. 2006; Li et al. 2008]. c-Myc can maintain the growth of Notch-dependent T-ALL cell lines in the absence of Notch, and c-Myc inhibition blocks T-ALL growth [Weng et al. 2006]. Thus, inhibiting the Notch1-c-Myc axis may have therapeutic value in treating T-ALL.

In this study, we used T-cell development and transformation to characterize the dependence of these processes on the formation of dimeric Notch transcription complexes. We found that the formation of dimeric complexes is not required to specify the T-cell fate from a MPP; however, dimeric complexes have important functions in later stages of T-cell development and are required for T-cell transformation. Analysis of these varying requirements indicates that specific Notch target genes exhibit a differential dependence on dimerization. In particular, both c-Myc and pTα require formation of dimeric Notch transcription complexes, whereas Hey1 and CD25 are dimerization-independent. Analysis of the paired binding sites in the human and murine pTα loci show that there is tolerance for deviation from the CSL-binding consensus at one of the two sites, suggesting that loading at a high-affinity site enables cooperative interaction of CSL with DNA at a second lower-affinity site. Transcription of both c-Myc and pTα in response to activated Notch1 relies on dimer formation, because mutations that inhibit homodimerization but reconstitute dimeric complexes in trans rescue expression of these targets and restore the growth of Notch-dependent T-ALL cell lines. These data show that a subset of Notch1 targets depends on formation of Notch1 dimeric transcription complexes, and that expression of these targets is important for both T-cell development and transformation.

Results

Dimeric Notch signaling is required for T-ALL but is dispensable for T-cell specification

Notch1 is required for the differentiation of hematopoietic precursors into T cells [Bhandoola et al. 2007; Radtke et al. 2010]. In the absence of Notch1 signals, T cells do not develop [Radtke et al. 1999]. In contrast, constitutive Notch1 signaling in MPPs induces thymic-independent T-cell development in the BM [Pui et al. 1999]. To determine the effect of dimerization on T-cell specification, we transduced constitutively active ICN1 or dimerization-defective
ICN1-R1985A [R1985A] into BM progenitors and cocultured these cells with OP9 cells, which give rise to myeloid cells or B cells in the absence of Notch signaling. In these experiments, we used total MPPs (defined as Lin−/Sca1+/Kit+/Flt3−), a heterogeneous population containing some thymus homing progenitors [Bhandoola et al. 2007] that can be isolated in sufficient quantities. In the absence of Notch1 signals, myeloid development occurred, as demonstrated by coexpression of the myeloid markers Gr1 and Mac1 [Fig. 1A, top]. In contrast, ICN1 expression inhibited myeloid differentiation and induced early stages of T-cell development, as shown by coexpression of the T-cell markers CD25 and Thy1.2 [Fig. 1A, middle]. R1985A also prevented myeloid development, supported early stages of T-cell development [Fig. 1A, bottom], and appeared as potent as ICN1 in inducing T-cell development at all time points examined [days 3, 6, and 9] [Fig. 1B; data not shown]. Furthermore, based on CFSE labeling, both ICN1 and R1985A-transduced MPPs underwent similar numbers of cell divisions as they differentiated [Fig. 1C].

In addition to inducing thymic-independent T-cell development, constitutive ICN1 expression in murine hematopoietic progenitors induces T-ALL [Pear et al. 1996; Aster et al. 2000]. Lethally irradiated recipients of ICN1-transduced HSCs uniformly developed T-ALL within 100 d [Fig. 1D]. In contrast, mice receiving R1985A remained leukemia-free [Fig. 1D]. This difference is not likely to result from a failure of the R1985A-transduced cells to engraft, survive, or promote T-cell specification following BM transplantation (BMT), as the percentage of Thy1.2+CD25+GFP+ cells was similar between the ICN1 and R1985A mice at 2 wk following BMT [data not shown]. However, similar to other nontransforming Notch alleles that we studied, the percentage of GFP-expressing cells in the R1985A mice decreased over time [data not shown], since these cells are unable to self-renew [Chiang et al. 2008]. Thus, R1985A abrogated the ability of Notch1 to induce T-ALL without affecting T-cell specification.

Dimeric Notch signaling is required to maintain growth of T-ALL cell lines

To understand the failure of R1985A to induce T-ALL, we studied its effect on the growth of two Notch1-dependent murine T-ALL cell lines: G4A2 and T6E [Pear et al. 1996, 1998]. Both express mutated gain-of-function alleles encoding Notch1 polypeptides that signal independently of ligand but still require γ-secretase-dependent cleavage to create ICN1 [Pear et al. 1996]. Treating MigR1 vector-transduced G4A2 and T6E cells with the γ-secretase inhibitor (GSI) JC-18 [also known as GSI-X1] [Wu et al. 1998; Searfoss et al. 2003] blocked Notch signaling and inhibited growth of these cells [Fig. 2A,B; data not shown]. Transducing these cells with ICN1, which does not require γ-secretase cleavage for activity, maintained their growth in the presence of the GSI [Fig. 2A,B]. In contrast to ICN1, R1985A did not rescue GSI-induced expressing the indicated cDNA and truncated nerve growth factor receptor (tNGFR) as a surrogate marker. Cells were labeled with CFSE and cultured on OP9 cells for 3 d. CFSE expression was determined on the CD45+NGFR+Thy1.2+CD25+ population. [D] Lethally irradiated mice were reconstituted with 5-FU-treated donor BM cells that were transduced with the MigR1 retroviral vector, ICN1, or R1985A. The Kaplan-Meier graph shows the fraction of mice without T-ALL as a function of time. MigR1 and ICN1 mice served as negative and positive controls, respectively. Peripheral blood from all mice was drawn 4 wk and 6 wk after BMT to follow T-ALL development. Only ICN1 mice were confirmed to develop T-ALL with an elevated white blood cell count [WBC >40 million cells per milliliter] containing >90% GFP+CD4+CD8+ DP T cells.

Figure 1. R1985A induced T-cell specification from a MPP but failed to induce T-ALL. (A) Hematopoietic MPPs were purified from C57BL/6 BM and transduced with retroviruses [MigR1] expressing GFP alone or GFP and the indicated polypeptides for 48 h. Transduced cells were sorted by GFP expression and seeded at equal numbers [250 cells] onto OP9 stromal cells. Following 6 d of coculture with OP9, GFP+CD45+ cells were gated to evaluate the myeloid lineage by detecting the surface markers Mac1 and Gr1. Nonmyeloid cells (Mac1−/Gr1−) were examined further for T-lineage development by Thy1.2 and CD25 expression. Gated live cells [DAPI−] were analyzed and representative profiles are shown. [B] Relative numbers of Thy1.2+CD25+ cells generated from retrovirally transduced MPPs cocultured on OP9 were quantified and averaged from three independent experiments. Bars denote standard error of mean [SEM]. P-values were determined by Student's t-test. [C] MPPs were transduced with retroviral vectors
Figure 2. R1985A blocked Notch-dependent cell growth. G4A2 (A) or T6E (B) cells express a membrane-tethered mutated form of Notch1 that requires γ-secretase-dependent cleavage for activity. The cells were transduced with MigR1 retroviruses expressing GFP alone [MigR1] or GFP and the indicated polypeptides for 48 h, followed by treatment with the GSI for 6 h. Cell numbers were determined at each time point using a Vi-CELL Cell Viability Analyzer (Beckman Coulter) and FACS, are shown as the mean of values from triplicate wells ± SD. Cell numbers from 2 d and 5 d were normalized to 0 d. Data are representative of three independent experiments.

Dimeric Notch complexes regulate a subset of Notch transcriptional targets that include c-Myc and pTa

The inability of R1985A to support the growth of the Notch-dependent T-ALL lines or induce T-ALL in mice suggested that R1985A failed to induce the expression of one or more critical target genes that are essential for leukemogenesis. We thus tested whether R1985A would support the expression of a subset of Notch target genes identified previously in T6E cells [Weng et al. 2006]. Fifteen hours following transduction with ICN1, R1985A, dominant-negative MAML1 [DNMAML1], or empty virus, T6E cells were treated with JC-18 for 6 h. Cell numbers were equivalent at the time of harvest. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis of RNAs purified from GFP+ cells [a surrogate marker for retroviral transduction] revealed three types of responses among various Notch target genes [Fig. 3]. [1] R1985A and ICN1 rescued Hey1 and CD25 to a similar extent in the presence of the GSI [Fig. 3A,B]. [2] R1985A failed to further increase the expression of Hes1 relative to the vector control in the presence of DMSO; however, in the presence of the GSI, Hes1 expression was increased relative to MigR1 or DNMAML1-transduced cells, albeit to a lesser degree than by ICN1 [Fig. 3C]. The ability of R1985A to partially rescue the expression of Hes1 was unexpected, as R1985A had minimal activity on a luciferase reporter gene containing only the Hes1 paired site [Nam et al. 2007]. These data raise the possibility that additional CSL-binding sites in the proximal Hes1 promoter also contribute to the regulation of Hes1 in T-ALL cells. [3] R1985A failed to induce c-Myc or pTa expression in the presence and absence of the GSI [Fig. 3D,E].

pTa contains paired binding sites that respond to dimeric Notch signaling

pTa is an important component of the pre-TCR that is required for successful β selection and differentiation to the CD4+CD8+ DP stage of T-cell development. pTa is a direct Notch1 transcriptional target [Defos et al. 2000], and CSL-binding sites have been identified in both human and mouse enhancer sequences [Reizis and Leder 2002]. Because R1985A blocked Notch1-induced pTa expression, we reasoned that expression of pTa was dependent on the formation of dimeric Notch transcription complexes. Although inspection of the previously identified CSL-binding sites in the proximal pTa enhancer in mice and humans did not reveal an ideal match to the CSL-binding consensus at the typical position of the second site (i.e., positioned head to head and separated by a distance of 15–17 bp from the primary site, as exists in the Hes1 promoter) [Nam et al. 2007], we explored the possibility that cooperative loading of dimeric complexes would be tolerant of divergence from the consensus at the second site [Fig. 4A].

To determine whether a Notch transcriptional complex comprised of CSL, ICN1, and MAML1 can cooperatively dimerize on the putative pTa paired site, we carried out electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) on oligonucleotide probes containing the pTa SPS [Fig. 4B]. In the absence of ICN1 or MAML1, CSL preferentially bound to a single site. Adding ICN1 or R1985A shifted this single complex equivalently, consistent with prior work showing that the R1985A mutation has no effect on ICN1 association with CSL. In contrast, the addition of the MAML1 peptide induced the formation of a higher-order CSL/ICN1/MAML1 complex with wild-type ICN1 on both the human and murine pTa elements, whereas the R1985A mutation was completely unable to form stable higher-order CSL/ICN1/MAML1 complexes [Fig. 4B]. To assess the ability of CSL to bind each individual site, oligonucleotide probes were prepared that contained either a mutant high-affinity site [Site 1] or a mutant low-affinity site [Site 2]. CSL bound to the high-affinity site but not the
To directly test whether loading of Notch transcription complex dimers is required for activation of the pTa enhancer, we used human pTa reporter genes (Fig. 4C, Reizis and Leder 2002). ICN1 strongly activated a wild-type pTa reporter gene, but failed to activate when the high-affinity CSL-binding site (CCTGGGAA) was mutated. In contrast, activation of the wild-type pTa reporter gene by R1985A was markedly diminished. The degree of the decrement in activation produced by the R1985A mutation on the pTa reporter gene was similar to that seen with a Hes1 reporter gene containing a well-characterized paired site (Fig. 4C; Nam et al. 2007).

To establish that the defect in the R1985A mutant resulted from defective Notch dimerization, we scored additional ICN1 mutations affecting other contacts involved in the formation of dimeric CSL/ICN1/MAML1 complexes on DNA (Fig. 4D, Nam et al. 2007). Specifically, CSL/ICN1/MAML1 dimers contain an intermolecular salt bridge between K1946 and E1950 of ankyrin repeat 2 (Fig. 4D). In prior work, we showed that charge reversal mutations (either K1946E or E1950K) that disrupt this salt bridge decreased the ability of ICN1 to activate a reporter gene containing the Hes1 paired site. In contrast, combining both charge reversal mutations (K1946E/E1950K) in cis, which restores electrostatic complementarity, also restored activation of the Hes1 reporter gene, providing strong evidence that Notch dimerization is critical for transcriptional activation (Nam et al. 2007).

We used the same strategy to test the role of dimerization in activation of the human pTa reporter gene (Fig. 4E). Both of the individual K1946E and E1950K mutations diminished pTa reporter gene activation by ICN1 to a degree similar to that seen on the Hes1 reporter gene [Nam et al. 2007]. In contrast, the charge reversal mutation K1946E/E1950K not only restored activation, but reproducibly caused increased transcription of the pTa reporter gene as compared with wild-type ICN1. The explanation for the increased activity of the double mutant is unclear, but the observed structure-based complementation nevertheless provides strong evidence that the formation of dimeric Notch transcription complexes on the pTa enhancer is required for transcriptional activation.

**Dimeric Notch complexes are important for β selection**

β selection is a critical juncture of T-cell development during which cells express a functional pre-TCRβ receptor and initiate signals that result in the survival and expansion of these cells (von Boehmer and Fehling 1997; Aifantis et al. 2006). Cells undergoing β selection are irreversibly committed to the T-cell lineage. notch1 and c-Myc are highly expressed in cells just prior to β selection (so-called DN3a cells) and are down-regulated in cells immediately post-β selection (so-called DN3b cells) (Taghon et al. 2006; Weng et al. 2006; Yashiro-Ohtani et al. 2009). Notch withdrawal in DN3a cells decreased c-Myc to levels typical of DN3b cells, suggesting that Notch1 regulates c-Myc expression at this developmental stage (Weng et al. 2006). However, the precise roles of Notch1 and c-Myc during β selection have not been clearly delineated. The inability of R1985A to induce c-Myc expression provides a unique reagent to investigate these relationships. First, to confirm that R1985A did not lead to increased expression of c-Myc in DN3 cells, ICN1 or R1985A transduced DN3 cells were cultured on OP9 cells for 2 d prior to RNA harvest. Similar to the data in leukemic cell lines, R1985A induced transcription of Hey1 but not c-Myc (Fig. 5A).

To test the ability of R1985A to induce successful passage of T-cell precursors through β selection, we used OP9 culture conditions that support the differentiation of DN3 cells to DP cells in the presence of Notch1...
signaling. We purified C57BL/6 DN3 thymocytes, which were subsequently transduced with different combinations of retroviruses expressing ICN1, R1985A, or c-Myc. The transduced DN3 cells were sorted and 200 cells were cultured for 9 d with OP9 cells, at which time DP T-cell development was assessed (Supplemental Fig. S2).

DN3 cells transduced with empty retroviral vectors did not survive, consistent with previous reports showing that Notch is required for DN3 survival (Fig. 5B, row 1; Ciofani et al. 2004). As expected, transduction with either ICN1 or ICN1 and c-Myc together generated DP T cells (Fig. 5B, rows 2,5). Viable cells were present following DN3 transduction with either R1985A or c-Myc; however, DP T cells were rare (Fig. 5B, rows 3,4). In contrast, when R1985A and c-Myc were coexpressed, they did induce DP T-cell development (Fig. 5B, row 6), suggesting that c-Myc complemented R1985A to promote successful β selection and DP T-cell development. Coexpression of R1985A and c-Myc consistently resulted in fewer total DP T cells than the combination of ICN1 and c-Myc (Fig. 5C). This may be due to subnormal expression of other Notch1 target genes in cells expressing R1985A, such as Hes1 or pTa. Unlike c-Myc, retroviral expression of pTa failed to complement R1985A, as assessed by an inability

Figure 4. Dimeric Notch1 directly regulates pTa transcription. (A) Schematic of the reported CSL-binding sites in both human and mouse pTa enhancers (left box in red) (Reizis and Leder 2002) and predicted cryptic second SPS sites (right box in blue). (B) Dimeric ICN1 transcriptional complex assembled in the presence of pTa enhancer. EMSA was performed with radiolabeled oligonucleotide probes, and the resulting complexes constituted with recombinant proteins are indicated. RA1 denotes the RAMANK domain of human ICN1. (C) Wild-type ICN1 but not R1985A fully induced human pTa enhancer. 293 cells were transiently transfected with the reporter constructs together with the ICN1 or R1985A expression vectors. The luciferase reporter constructs contained the indicated enhancer fragments upstream of the SV40 promoter TATA box. The human pTa enhancers were either wild type or mutated at the CSL-binding sites as described (Reizis and Leder 2002). Reporter activities normalized to an empty vector were determined and presented as fold induction, shown as the mean of values from triplicate wells ± SD. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (D) Key interactions between symmetry mates in the structure of the Notch transcription complex (Protein Data Bank ID code 2F8X). One of the symmetry-related Notch ankyrin domains is colored blue, and the other is pink. Residues that form contacts between symmetry mates are labeled. The pink copy also has a transparent molecular surface. Dotted lines denote salt bridges between K1946 and E1950 and E1950 and K1946. The different K1946 and E1950 mutants used to analyze Notch dimerization are shown on the right. Predicted salt bridges are indicated by the dashed red lines. (E) Induction of the human pTa enhancer by both wild-type ICN1 and a variant containing dual mutations (K1946E/E1950K). Similar to the transfections in C, the luciferase reporter construct containing the human pTa enhancer upstream of the SV40 promoter TATA box was coexpressed with the indicated ICN1 and mutants. Reporter activities were determined and normalized to the empty vector as induction fold, shown as the mean of values from triplicate wells ± SD. Data are representative of three independent experiments.
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Figure 5. c-Myc overrides the R1985A-induced block in DP T-cell development. (A) R1985A failed to activate c-Myc expression in primary DN3 thymocytes. DN3 cells were sorted from the thymus of B6 mice and transduced with the indicated retroviral supernatants. At 16 h post-transduction, the transduced DN3 cells were purified and cocultured with OP9 cells for 2 d, then RNA was obtained to analyze gene expression by qPCR. c-Myc and Hey1 expression relative to 18s RNA is shown as the mean of values from triplicate wells ± SD. Data are representative of two independent experiments. (B) Purified DN3 cells were transduced with the indicated pairs of retroviruses and 200 NGFR+GFP+ cells were sorted and cultured with OP9 cells for 9 d, whereupon the CD45+ cells were immunophenotyped for CD4 and CD8 expression. Thymocytes from a C57BL/6 mouse were analyzed as controls to gate CD45+CD8+ and CD45+CD8- populations [data not shown]. The percentage of cells in the bottom left and top right quadrants is shown. Representative profiles are shown. Gated live cells [DAPI−] were analyzed. Experiments were performed at least three times with similar results. (C) CD4+CD8+ cells generated from retrovirally transduced DN3 cells in B were quantified. The cell number in each condition is shown as the mean of values from triplicate wells ± SD. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (D) DN3 cells were transduced with retroviral vectors expressing the indicated cDNA and tNGFR as a surrogate marker. Cells were labeled with CFSE and cultured on OP9 cells for 5 d. CFSE levels were determined on the indicated CD45+NGFR+CD4+CD8+ and CD45+NGFR+CD4+CD8− populations.

Notch-dependent c-Myc expression and leukemic cell growth require Notch1 dimerization

In addition to influencing β selection, the Notch:c-Myc axis is essential for the growth and survival of multiple Notch-dependent T-ALL cell lines, including G4A2 and T6E. Thus, the failure of R1985A to induce c-Myc transcription explains the dominant-negative growth effects of R1985A on G4A2 and T6E [Fig. 2], as the growth of both of these cell lines requires c-Myc [Weng et al. 2006; Chiang et al. 2008; Yashiro-Ohtani et al. 2009]. To further explore the possible link between the R1985A mutation, c-Myc, and T-ALL cell growth, we tested the ability of R1985A to rescue growth of 8946 cells, a line derived from a murine T-ALL induced with a doxycycline-repressible human c-MYC transgene (Felsher and Bishop 1999). 8946 cells are c-MYC-dependent and die in the presence of doxycycline due to repression of transgenic c-MYC expression [Weng et al. 2006]. We showed previously that 8946 cells were resistant to doxycycline-induced death after ICN1 transduction, which maintained cell growth by inducing the expression of the endogenous murine c-Myc gene [Weng et al. 2006]. Consistent with its effect on c-Myc transcription in T6E cells, R1985A failed to maintain 8946 cell growth in the presence of doxycycline [Fig. 6A]. In contrast, retroviral coexpression of c-Myc and R1985A permitted 8946 cells to grow in the presence of doxycycline [Fig. 6A], consistent with the idea that a central facet of the inability of R1985A to support T-ALL cell growth is the failure to upregulate c-Myc expression.

To establish that Notch1-dependent c-Myc transcription required Notch dimerization, we tested the effects of the R1985A, K1946E, and E1950K mutations on c-Myc transcription in the c-Myc-dependent 8946 T-ALL cells. Both of the individual K1946E and E1950K mutations
diminished c-Myc transcription by >100-fold, whereas the double mutant K1946E/E1950K restored c-Myc expression to levels produced by wild-type ICN1 [Fig. 6B]. To formally show that the double mutant K1946E/E1950K functioned through intermolecular Notch1 interactions, we introduced the K1946E and E1950K mutants on separate retroviral vectors (Fig. 4D, "trans"). This combination also induced c-Myc expression at wild-type ICN1 levels (Fig. 6B). Similar results were found for pTα in 8946 cells [Fig. 6C]. Furthermore, while neither K1946E nor E1950K rescued 8946 cells from transgenic c-Myc withdrawal, both the K1946E/E1950K double mutant and the coexpressed K1946E and E1950K single mutants did [Fig. 6D]. Together, these data provide strong ex vivo genetic evidence that dimerization-dependent Notch signaling is required in T cells for c-Myc and pTα expression and growth of c-Myc-dependent T-ALL cells.

Discussion

Recent biochemical studies established that Notch has the capacity to dimerize at paired CSL sites that are optimally spaced and oriented (Nam et al. 2007); however, the functional significance of dimerization-dependent Notch signaling and the identity of physiologic dimer-dependent Notch targets were unknown. We now demonstrate that Notch1 dimerization regulates a subset of Notch1 functions by inducing a specific group of Notch1 transcriptional targets [Fig. 7]. Using T-cell development and transformation as models, we show that Notch1 dimerization is essential to induce murine T-ALL and exerts important functions during T-cell β selection, but is not required for induction of T-cell lineage specification. We identified two Notch1 transcriptional targets [c-Myc and pTα] whose expression is dependent on Notch1 dimerization, as well as two targets (Hey1 and CD25) whose expression is independent of Notch1 dimerization.

1) Notch activates as a monomer
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2) Notch activates as a dimer
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3) Monomeric and Dimeric Notch binding contribute
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Our analysis reveals important roles for dimeric Notch complexes in T-cell development and transformation. c-Myc is a direct Notch1 transcriptional target in Notch-dependent human and murine T-ALLs, in which the Notch:c-Myc axis exerts important growth and survival functions [Palomero et al. 2006; Sharma et al. 2006; Weng et al. 2006; Li et al. 2008]. Disrupting Notch dimerization abrogated Notch-induced T-ALL in a murine BMT model and blocked the proliferation and survival of T-ALL cell lines. The failure of dimerization-deficient mutants to activate c-Myc transcription is likely to be a critical event underlying these phenotypes, a contention supported by the ability of c-Myc to rescue growth and survival of T-ALL cell lines expressing dimerization-deficient mutants. The ability of Notch dimerization to influence specific events may be threshold-dependent, as the individual K1946E and E1950K mutants were less crippled than R1985A in terms of their abilities to induce c-Myc expression, but still failed to rescue the growth of a c-Myc-dependent T-ALL cell line.

In contrast to its effect in T-ALL, disrupting Notch dimerization did not have a marked influence on the ability of Notch to direct hematopoietic progenitors to the T-cell fate. One of the dimerization-sensitive Notch targets that we identified, Hes1, is important for T-cell specification. Studies of Hes1 knockout mice showed that Hes1 is important in the expansion of very early T-cell progenitors [Tomita et al. 1999], but has little influence on later stages of differentiation [Kaneta et al. 2000]. In our OP9-based assays, the ability of Notch1 to drive formation of dimeric complexes did not influence T-cell specification or later development up to the DN2/DN3 stage. Unlike pTa and c-Myc, the R1985A mutation only partially abrogated Hes1 expression, suggesting that it may have exceeded the minimal threshold required for early T-cell development. Alternatively, Hes1 expression is also influenced by other transcription factors, such as E2A and JunB, which may have compensated for defective formation of Notch dimers [Ikawa et al. 2006; Santaguida et al. 2009].

Our data suggest that the failure of R1985A to induce T-ALL is likely related to defects that preclude successful β selection. R1985A-transduced DN3 cells were markedly deficient in β selection, as evidenced by decreased DP differentiation and decreased proliferation. Successful β selection and Notch-induced T-ALL both require Notch and pre-TCR signals [Allman et al. 2001; Bellavia et al. 2002, Ciofani et al. 2004], and c-Myc and pTa are two dimerization-dependent Notch targets that exert important functions during β selection and the induction and maintenance of T-ALL.

Signaling through the pre-TCR is important for the survival of thymocytes that have successfully rearranged their TCR β chain, and for their subsequent proliferation and differentiation [von Boehmer and Fehling 1997; Aifantis et al. 2006]. pTa is a direct Notch target, and CSL-binding sites have been identified in the pTa enhancer and promoter [Reizis and Leder 2002; Bellavia et al. 2007]. Reporter gene assays suggest that the CSL-binding sites in the enhancer are particularly important for optimal pTa expression [Reizis and Leder 1999, 2002].

We now show that the CSL-binding sites in the pTa enhancer in both humans and mice are comprised of divergent SPS sites that respond to dimeric Notch transcriptional activation complexes. The paired sites consist of one previously identified CSL site that closely matches the CSL consensus and less conserved sequences 16 bp away that nevertheless provide a second binding site for CSL/ICN1/MAML1 complexes, based on EMSA studies that show cooperative loading onto both the human and mouse pTa paired sites. The sequences of this second site diverge substantially from the CSL consensus sequence, especially in the human pTa promoter. The affinity for the second site is sufficiently weak that it appears to load complexes only when the first site is occupied. In contrast, both of the paired sites in the Hes1 promoter are able to bind CSL independently, with the weaker of the two sites showing an affinity twofold lower than that of the stronger [Nam et al. 2007, Gordon et al. 2008; Friedmann and Kovall 2010]. Thus, the second CSL-binding site within paired sites can diverge substantially from the single-site CSL consensus motif, as also observed in the Hes5 locus [Arnett et al. 2010]. As a result, the second site consensus sequence will likely need to be defined experimentally, since divergence in the sequences of these second CSL-binding sites may make it difficult or impossible to predict paired sites using bioinformatic approaches alone.

Notch exerts important functions during β selection that are independent of pre-TCR signaling, as TCR transgenes fail to rescue loss of Notch signaling in DN3 cells [Ciofani and Zuniga-Pflucker 2005; Maillard et al. 2006]. Our data suggest that Notch-dependent c-Myc transcription in DN3 cells provides important proliferative and differentiation signals. In addition to its functions downstream from Notch, c-Myc is likely to have important functions downstream from pre-TCR signaling [Douglas et al. 2001; Dose et al. 2006]. Gounari and colleagues [Dose et al. 2006] showed that pre-TCR signals enhance c-Myc levels, and that c-Myc is important for proliferation of post-β selection thymocytes as they develop into DP T cells. Although our data show that the Notch1:c-Myc axis is important for β selection and T-ALL, it is possible that Notch regulation of c-Myc involves both direct and indirect effects.

We used two different sets of mutations to identify and validate c-Myc and pTa as dimerization-dependent Notch1 transcriptional targets. Most importantly, coexpression of the individual K1946E and E1950K mutants in trans restored expression of these genes to wild-type ICN1 levels. Thus, intermolecular Notch1 interactions are required for c-Myc and pTa expression. These genetic data, together with the recently solved high-resolution crystal structure of a dimeric Notch transcription complex on DNA [Arnett et al. 2010], provide strong support for the importance of dimeric complexes in Notch-dependent transcriptional activation of certain target genes. Although we identified the functional paired sites in pTa, the precise sites for Notch dimerization on c-Myc remain to be determined, paired CSL-binding sites are not readily identifiable immediately upstream of the c-Myc promoter, and
the elements that control c-Myc transcription are poorly understood [Harismendy and Frazer 2009]. Long-range interactions between a putative 5′ c-Myc enhancer and the c-Myc promoter have been described in colorectal cancer [Pomerantz et al. 2009], and similar long-range interactions between an enhancer containing a paired-site element and the promoter in c-Myc may exist in T cells. While the details of Notch regulation of c-Myc remain to be determined, our studies establish that Notch dimerization is essential for proper c-Myc regulation.

In summary, our data provide new insights into possible mechanisms used by Notch to activate gene transcription and induce different outcomes. Dimerization-dependent transcriptional targets can be regulated by access of CSL/ICN/MAML complexes to either of the two CSL-binding sites, and the kinetics of assembly and turnover of the dimeric complex may be different from monomeric complexes. In both β selection and Notch-induced T-ALL, it is possible that Notch dimerization results in coregulation of multiple Notch targets to coordinate achieve and/or maintain a high level of signaling tone. As dimerization is critical for the growth of T-ALL cells and possibly other Notch-related diseases, it may be possible to disrupt dimerization with selective inhibitors, which could have more favorable toxicity profiles than pan-Notch inhibitors, such as GSIs.

Materials and methods

Reagents and plasmids

JC-18 is an isomer of compound X [Scarffoss et al. 2003] that was synthesized as described [Wu et al. 1998]. The retroviral constructs MigR1-ICN1 and MigR1-DNAMAML [13–74]-GFP are described [Weng et al. 2003]. The R1985A mutation was introduced using the QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). Primers for mutagenesis are R1985A forward (5′-CGATCTCCGTCCAGGGACAGCGACACGTAGTCGAT GC-3′) and R1985A reverse (5′-GCATCCAGGTCCTGGTGCCTGCG TGGTCGGATCGAGTCTG-3′) MigR1-c-Myc was a kind gift from Bill Sha [University of California at Berkeley]. For the experiments in which ICN1 was cotransduced with MigR1-c-Myc, ICN1 was expressed from an MSCV-based retroviral vector that coexpresses the truncated nerve growth factor receptor (tNGFR) as a surrogate marker [Izon et al. 2001] and was detected by PE anti-NGFR (BD-Pharmingen); thus, it could be distinguished from GFP fluorescence. NGFR-ICN1 and NGFR-R1985A were obtained by subcloning ICN1 or R1985A from MigR1 into the MSCV tNGFR vector. All constructs were sequenced and tested for expression.

qRT–PCR

Total RNA was prepared using TRIzol (Invitrogen). Randomized total RNAs (1 µg from cell lines and 0.1 µg from primary cells) were reverse-transcribed with SuperScript II (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All PCR reactions were performed in triplicate. Primer sequences for real-time PCR were as follows: pTα forward, 5′-CAGGGCTTCATCACAGGGATT-3′; pTα reverse, 3′-ACCACACAGGTGTTCTCAAGG-5′; Hey1 forward, 5′-GATCCAGCTGAGCATAGATA-3′; Hey1 reverse, 5′-ACCTTCTCCCTCTCAGGTGTA-3′; 18s rRNA forward, 5′-GCCGCCGCTAGAGGTGAAT-3′; 18s rRNA reverse, 5′-GGCCGGTCATGGGAATAAC-3′. Primers were used at a final concentration of 0.4 µM. Real-time amplification in TaqMan universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) was performed with initial denaturation for 3 min at 95°C followed by 40 cycles of two-step amplification [15 sec at 95°C, 1 min at 65°C], and was analyzed on the ABI Prism 7900 (Applied Biosystems). c-Myc, CD25, and Hes1 TaqMan primers were purchased from Applied Biosystems.

EMSA

EMSA was performed as described [Nam et al. 2007]. Residues 1761–2127 of human Notch1 were inserted into a modified pGEX-4T1 vector to express RAMANK-1 (RA-1). Expression and purification of various polypeptides were as described [Nam et al. 2003, 2006]. The final concentration in each EMSA [total volume, 14 µL] was 0.03 µM DNA, 0.15 µM CSI, 0.9 µM ICN1, and 1.9 µM MAML1.

Luciferase reporter gene assays

Empty pcDNA3 or pcDNA3 expressing ICN1 (or indicated mutants) was transiently cotransfected in triplicate into human 293 cells with firefly luciferase reporter genes driven by elements from the Hes1 promoter or the human pTα enhancer as described [Reizis and Leder 2002]. Cells were transfected using Fugene 6 reagent [Roche Molecular Biochemicals] with 0.8 µg of firefly luciferase reporter constructs, 0.1 µg of expression vectors, and 0.1 µg of Renilla luciferase expression vector. Luciferase activities were measured 24 h later using the Promega Dual Luciferase kit. Firefly luciferase activities were normalized with Renilla luciferase control values and expressed relative to the empty vector lysate, which was set arbitrarily to a value of 1.

Purification of MPP and DN3 cells

BM cells and thymocytes were treated with ACK lysis buffer (Cambrex) to remove red blood cells and were prepared as a single-cell suspension. Total MPPs were identified as CD3-CD4- and CD8-depleted thymocytes. They were sorted accordingly on the FACSaria [BD Biosciences] and analyzed on the LSRII [BD Biosciences]. The lineage mixture included α-β2 [RA3-682], α-CD19 [1D3], α-CD11b [M1/70], α-Gr1 [8C5], α-CD11c [N418], α-NK1.1 [PK136], α-Ter119 [TER-119], α-CD3ε [17A2], α-CD8α [53-6.7], α-CD8β [H57-17.2], anti-TCRβ [H57-597], and anti-TCRγδ [GL-3] (eBioscience).

Retroviral transduction

Production of high-titer retroviruses was performed as described [Chiang et al. 2008]. Retroviral transduction of T-ALL cell lines was carried out as described [Weng et al. 2006]. Briefly, 2 × 10⁶ cells were added with the appropriate amount of viral supernatant and 4 µg/mL polybrene (Sigma) in a final volume of 2 mL. The mixture was then centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 60 min. Transduction efficiency was measured 48 h post-transduction by flow cytometry.

For retroviral transduction of MPPs and DN3 cells, six-well or 12-well plates were coated with 20 µg/mL RetroNectin (Takara) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Normalized retroviral supernatants [Chiang et al. 2008] were added into wells and incubated for 4–6 h at 37°C before washing with PBS. Purified MPPs or DN3 cells were resuspended in the stimulation medium.
cocks DMEM, penicillin-streptomycin, 15% FCS, L-glutamate (2 mM), IL-3 (10 ng/mL), IL-6 (10 ng/mL), SCF (20 ng/mL), and Flt3-ligand (20 ng/mL) for MPPs and RPMI, penicillin-streptomycin, 15% FCS, IL-7 (20 ng/mL), SCF (20 ng/mL), and Flt3-ligand (20 ng/mL) for DN3, and then added to virus-bound RetroNectin-coated plates. Transduced DN3 cells were sorted within 16 h. Transduced MPPs were sorted 36 h post-infection.

**BMT**

Retroviral transduction of BM cells and transplant into lethally irradiated recipients were carried out as described using normalized retroviral supernatants (Chiang et al. 2008). In brief, BM cells from 4- to 6-wk-old C57Bl/6 mice (Taconic) were harvested 4 d after intravenous administration of 5-FU (250 mg/kg). The cells were cultured overnight in the presence of IL-3 (6 ng/mL), IL-6 (5-10 ng/mL), and SCF (100 ng/mL). Two rounds of retroviral transduction were performed once per day on the following 2 d. Cells [8 × 10⁶] were injected intravenously into lethally irradiated (900 rads) recipients. Mice were supplied with drinking water with antibiotics for 2 wk post-BMT. Experiments were performed according to guidelines from the National Institutes of Health and with an approved protocol from the University of Pennsylvania Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Flow cytometry**

Cells were stained with the indicated antibodies in FACS buffer (1× DPBS, 10 mM HEPES, 0.02% NaN₃, 0.2% BSA [w/v]) on ice in the presence of rat and mouse IgG (Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 min, then washed and resuspended in FACS buffer. Acquisition was performed on a FACSCalibur or LSR II (Beckton Dickinson). Dead cells and doublets were excluded based on FSC-W and SSC-W characteristics and DAPI staining. Data were analyzed with FlowJo software [Tree Star].

**CFSE assay**

MPPs and DN3 were sorted from wild-type B6 mice and transduced with indicated tNGFR virus as described above. NGFR⁺ MPPs were sorted 32 h post-transduction, whereas NGFR⁺ DN3 were sorted 16 h after transduction. Sorted MPPs and DN3 were labeled with CFSE [Molecular Probes/Invitrogen] according to the instruction manual, before seeding onto OP9 stromal cells. Briefly, sorted NGFR⁺ cells were resuspended in warm PBS/0.1% BSA + 5% FCS at 1 × 10⁶ per milliliter. Cells were then mixed with an equal volume of 20 μM CFSE in PBS/0.1% BSA + 5% FCS to achieve a final concentration of 10 μM CFSE. Cells were incubated for 10 min at 37°C. CFSE loading was stopped by adding 5 vol of 4°C PBS/0.1% BSA + 5% FCS and was incubated on ice for 5 min. Cells were then washed three times with warm MEM medium before counting and were seeded onto OP9 stromal cells. CFSE-labeled tNGFR-transduced MPPs were harvested from OP9 culture on day 3, whereas CFSE-labeled tNGFR-transduced DN3s were harvested on day 5.
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